



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

David W. Highet  
VP & Chief IP Counsel  
Becton, Dickinson and Company  
1 Becton Drive  
MC 110  
Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417-1880

**COPY MAILED**

NOV 04 2008

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Eric Schiller et al.	:	
Application No. 10/668,863	:	ON PETITION
Filed: September 23, 2003	:	
Attorney Docket No. P-6106	:	

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 14, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed, February 20, 2008, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on May 21, 2008.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Notice of Appeal, with the required fee of \$540, (2) the petition fee of \$1620, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the Notice of Appeal is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

**The two-month period for filing an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37 (accompanied by the fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)), runs from the date of this decision.**

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and

Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kimberly Inabinet at (571) 272-4618.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3767 for processing of the response and any action that is required by the Examiner in the normal course of business.



Carl Friedman  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc: Scott C. Servilla  
77 Brant Avenue, Suite 210  
Clark, NJ 07066